



Summary Sheet

Council Report:

Barnsley, Doncaster and Rotherham Joint Waste Board

Title:

BDR Risk Register

Is this a Key Decision and has it been included on the Forward Plan?:

No

Strategic Director Approving Submission of the Report:

BDR Steering Committee

Report Author(s):

Lisbeth Baxter

Ward(s) Affected:

None

Executive Summary:

This document presents the risks associated with the delivery of the BDR PFI Waste Facility contractual obligations now the facility is operational. The risks identified in the risk register are considered by the BDR Steering Committee every six weeks.

Recommendation:

BDR Joint Waste Board is asked to consider and note the attached updated Risk Register, and

After consideration, advise of any further risks to be added to or deleted from the risk register.

List of Appendices Included:

BDR Risk Register (appendix 1)

Background Papers:
BDR Risk Register Scoring Guide

Consideration by any other Council Committee, Scrutiny or Advisory Panel:
The register has previously been considered by the BDR Steering Committee and the BDR Joint Waste Team.

Council Approval Required:
No

Exempt from the Press and Public:
No.

Title:
BDR Risk Register

1. Recommendations

- BDR Joint Waste Board is asked to consider and note the attached updated Risk Register, and
- After consideration, advise of any further risks to be added to or deleted from the risk register

2. Background

- 2.1 The BDR Joint Waste Board last considered the risk register at its meeting on 30th September 2017.
- 2.2 There are 3 categories of risk Red, Amber, Green (RAG) representing varying degrees of exposure. Each category contains a range of risk scores and the table below shows how the RAG rating and score are derived.

LIKELIHOOD (A)	Almost Certain 5	5	10	15	20	25
	Probable / Likely 4	4	8	12	16	20
	Possible 3	3	6	9	12	15
	Unlikely 2	2	4	6	8	10
	Very unlikely / Rare 1	1	2	3	4	5
		Insignificant / Negligible 1	Minor 2	Moderate 3	Major 4	Critical/ Catastrophic
	IMPACT (B)					

3. Key Issues and Risks

- 3.1 There are no new risks proposed for inclusion on the register. There are thirteen risks on the risk register
- 3.2 There are currently no risks proposed for deletion in the register.
- 3.4 The risk areas under each of these headings are as in appendix 1 with their respective current and target RAG rating:
- 3.5 Previous reports have highlighted to BDR Joint Waste Board that there has been very little movement in current risk scores for risks in the period since the facility became operational.

Current RAG Rating	11-3-2016	1-7-2016	22-9-2016	19/1/2017
Red	2	3	3	3
Amber	6	5	5	5
Green	5	5	5	5
Total	13	13	13	13

- 3.6 There has been one downward movement in “current” risk scores in this update. This is in relation to risk 10 Environmental impact and the likely hood has been reduced from a 5 (event will occur) to a 4 (event is more than likely to occur). This is due to the mitigation measures that the Contractor has put in place relating to noise and flies. The Environment Agency monitoring indicated that the measures taken to reduce the noise coming from the site had been successful and the noise levels were now such that they were unlikely to cause nuisance.

- 3.7 There has been one upward movement in the “current” risk scores in this update and this is in relation to risk 7 Insurance risks increase. This is due to the hardening of the market and the requirement by the 3SE insurers for more mitigation equipment.

Target RAG Rating	11-3-2016	1-7-2016	22-9-2016	19/1/2017
Red	1	1	0	0
Amber	4	5	6	4
Green	8	7	7	8
Total	13	13	13	13

Monitoring

- 3.9 The BDR Risk Register is reviewed six-weekly by the BDR Steering Committee. Additionally, the BDR Manager reports to the Joint Waste Team and draws attention to issues to allow internal challenge.

4. Options considered and recommended proposal

- 4.1 Not applicable.

5. Consultation

- 5.1 The BDR Joint Waste Team has reviewed and agreed the attached draft register.

6. Timetable and Accountability for Implementing this Decision

- 6.1 Not applicable.

7. Financial and Procurement Implications

- 7.1 The risks contained in the register require ongoing management action. In some cases additional resources may be necessary to implement the relevant actions or mitigate risks. Any additional costs associated with the risks are reported to the BDR Steering Committee for consideration.

8. Legal Implications

- 8.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the risk register. Any actions taken by the BDR Manager in response to risks identified will take into account any specific legal implications.

9. Human Resources Implications

- 9.1 There are no Human Resources implications associated with the proposals.

10. Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults

10.1 Not applicable

11. Equalities and Human Rights Implications

11.1 Proposals for addressing individual risks within the register incorporate equalities and human rights considerations where appropriate.

12. Implications for Partners and Other Directorates

12.1 The actions relating to any issues affecting partners are reflected in the risk register and accompanying risk mitigation action plans.

13. Risks and Mitigation

13.1 The BDR Manager will review and update the risk register on a six-weekly basis, to ensure risks are able to be effectively monitored and managed.

14. Accountable Officer(s):

Lisbeth Baxter BDR Manager

Approvals Obtained from:-

Strategic Director of Finance and Corporate Services: Not applicable

Director of Legal Services: Not applicable

Head of Procurement (if appropriate): Not Applicable

This report is published on the Council's website or can be found at: