

## **Delegated Officer Decision**

#### **Report Title**

Proposal by BT to permanently remove 42 public phone boxes throughout the borough

Is this a Key Decision and has it been included on the Forward Plan? No, but it has been included on the Forward Plan

## **Assistant Director Approving Submission of the Report**

Simon Moss, Assistant Director – Planning, Regeneration and Transport

#### Report Author(s)

Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer racheloverfield@rotherham.gov.uk

#### Ward(s) Affected

**Boston Castle Ward** Brinsworth And Catcliffe Ward **Dinnington Ward Keppel Ward** Hellaby Ward Holderness Ward **Hoober Ward** Rawmarsh Ward Rotherham East Ward Rother Vale Ward Silverwood Ward Sitwell Ward Valley Ward Wales Ward Wath Ward Wickersley Ward Wingfield Ward

#### **Report Summary**

BT has proposed removal of 42 public phone boxes around the borough which they consider are no longer viable to keep. Subsequently three pay phones were withdrawn by BT, leaving 39 for the Council to consider. Ofcom guidance requires a final decision on pay phone removal to be made by the Council and set out in the Final Notification, and the Secretary of State to be notified. Following assessment, and having regard to the consultation comments received, it is proposed to agree to the removal of 16 phone boxes but to retain 23 phone boxes under the local veto.

#### Recommendations

- 1. That the schedule showing the decision on each public phone box at Appendix 4 is approved, where the local veto is recommended to be applied to 23 of the 39 public phone boxes that BT has proposed for closure.
- 2. That the Final Notification is published, BT are notified and the Secretary of State informed of the decision made.

#### **List of Appendices Included**

Appendix 1 Equality Screening

Appendix 2 Equality Analysis

Appendix 3 Legislative information and procedures

Appendix 4 Final Notification and Schedule

#### **Background Papers**

1. Of com guidance on procedures for the removal of public phone boxes (including consultation requirements):

http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/binaries/consultations/uso/statement/removals.pdf

#### 2. BT Adopt a Kiosk scheme

https://business.bt.com/campaigns/communities/adopt-a-kiosk/?s\_cid=btb\_FURL\_adopt

## Consideration by any other Council Committee, Scrutiny or Advisory Panel $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N/A}}$

## **Council Approval Required**

No

#### **Exempt from the Press and Public**

No

# Proposal by BT to permanently remove 42 public phone boxes throughout the borough

Proposal by BT to permanently remove 42 public phone boxes throughout the borough

1.	Background		
1.1	BT notified the Council that they wished to remove 42 payphones they identified as unviable to keep and are considered unnecessary. BT will not remove payphones that fall within the parameters of those with a social and reasonable need as follows:		
	Located in suicide hotspots.		
	<ul> <li>A payphone near a coastline where there's no other within 400m.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>The payphone is the only one within 800 metres (this is the distance you can expect to walk to find a motorway emergency phone - not provided by BT- and are spaced at approximately 1,600 metre intervals).</li> </ul>		
	The payphone has had at least 120 calls made from it in the last 12 months.		
	<ul> <li>There are more than 500 households within 1 kilometre of the payphone (obviously very rural areas without households or mobile signal will still be protected by the overriding social need criteria).</li> </ul>		
	Ofcom Guidance outlines a procedure for phone box removal. This necessitates a final decision to be made in 90 days; however, an extension until 30 October 2020 was granted by BT. Under Ofcom guidance the Council is required to undertake a two stage public consultation on the proposal. After considering any comments made in the consultation process, the Council can then make a final decision on the phone box and either agree with BT removing the telephone equipment and phone box or decide that it should remain in active use for public calls. The Secretary of State will be provided with a Final Notification setting out the Council's final decision.		
1.2	The Council must be satisfied that it acted in accordance with the six Community requirements set out in section 4 of the Communications Act 2003 (see Appendix 2 Ofcom has included in its guidance those factors which it considers relevant to the decision (see Background Paper 1). BT cannot proceed to remove any call box that is the subject of objections supported by the Council in the Final Notification - know as the "local veto". Should the Council object to the removal of the phone box then BT must retain it in place and continue to maintain it for public calls. Where it is agreed that BT can remove the phone box they will do so unless the kiosk is adopted by an appropriate group (following removal of the phone equipment). Background Paper 2 provides detail of the Adopt a Kiosk scheme.		
1.3	The pay phone located at Fitzwilliam Street, Wath-Upon-Dearne, Rotherham was withdrawn by BT from its schedule of proposed closures on 3 September 2020. The was due to a discrepancy with its address. In addition, two further phone boxes located outside the Yellow Lion Hotel, Aston and at the junction of Pleasley Road and High Street Whiston were withdrawn by BT on 13 and 8 October 2020 respectively as they were located on private land.		

Two red pay phones of the K6 type are proposed for closure, their location is shown 1.4 below: PCO1 Dawson Avenue, Rawmarsh, Rotherham PCO1 Mappins Road, Catcliffe, Rotherham The Council previously included a local criterion based on red phone boxes which are considered to make a contribution to the setting of the Conservation Area. However, BT have confirmed that to comply with Ofcom guidance, objections to pay phone removal may only be made based on telephony need. As such only one of these red phone box closures (at Mappins Road) is objected to, based on telephony need (as it has over 52 or more calls in 12 months). 2. **Key Issues** 2.1 The Council has considered whether to support or object to the proposed public phone box closures based on internally derived criteria: 1. Whether phone boxes are recorded as having had 52 or more calls in 12 months (this is equivalent to one call per week which is considered to be a reasonable level of usage). 2. Whether phone boxes are close to areas where highways injury incidents have been recorded (that is 5 injury collisions within 100m radius and within a three year period). 3. Whether sites are located in areas at high risk of flooding (Zone 3 Flood Area). 4. Whether phone boxes are in areas with a high level of population over 75 years of age, is in an area of below national average home ownership and has fewer than 50 properties within 400 metres. 3. Options considered and recommended proposal 3.1 Options available are to agree a Final Notification either to object or agree to the proposals for public phone box removal. The Council must be satisfied that it has acted in accordance with the six community requirements set out in section 4 of the Communications Act (2003). 4. **Consultation on proposal** 4.1 In line with Ofcom guidance the Council has consulted on the proposals by BT. A first public consultation was carried out from 20 July to 16 August 2020 prior to a draft decision being made where the Council consented to the removal of 12 payphones and objected to the removal of 30. A second public consultation was carried out from 7 September to 7 October on the draft decision. Notifications of the

	public consultation were sent to: BT, all ward members, relevant parish and town councils, Rotherham MPs, emergency services, and the Council's Neighbourhoods Team.				
4.2	Payphone "PCO1 Fitzwilliam Street Wath-Upon-Dearne Rotherham" was withdrawn by BT from proposed closure on 3 September 2020 and this withdrawal was publicised on the Council's website in the second consultation. Payphones located outside the Yellow Lion Hotel, Aston and at the junction of Pleasley Road and High Street, Whiston were withdrawn by BT after the final public consultation on 13 and 8 October 2020 respectively.				
4.3	Consultations were carried out through the Council's website.				
5.	Timetable and Accountability for Implementing this Decision				
5.1	Ofcom guidance requires that the Council prepare a Final Notification objecting or consenting to public phone box removal within a time frame of 90 days of the initial notice being received. An extension to 30 October 2020 was granted by BT. The table below sets out the key dates relating to this process.				
	Receipt of notice from BT	29 June 2020			
	First consultation	20 – 16 August 2020			
	Consultation on First Notification	7 September – 7 October 2020			
	Decision by Assistant Director on Final Notification	14- 21 October 2020			
	Deadline for response to BT and Secretary of State	30 October 2020			
6.	Financial and Procurement Advice and Implications (to be written by the relevant Head of Finance and the Head of Procurement on behalf of s151 Officer)				
6.1	The consultation and associated administration costs of the public phone box removal proposals have been met within existing approved revenue budgets. Should any inspection identify any safety concerns following phone box removal, then the Council will serve a notice on BT to make good any reinstatement and recover costs.				
6.2	Procurement are satisfied with this approach, the services will be terminated by BT at no cost to the authority. There maybe some costs around the maintaining of the phone boxes once they have been adopted however a decision is still to be made around who will be the adopter. Any chargeable goods or services should be sought in accordance with section 52 of the financial and procurement procedure rules.				
7.	Legal Advice and Implications (to be written by Legal Officer on behalf of Assistant Director Legal Services)				

<ul> <li>7.1 The process set out in this report, and which has been followed in respect of these proposed public phone box removals is compliant with the Communications Act 2003 as required, as well as the relevant guidance issued by Ofcom. Further information as to these legislative and procedural requirements is contained in Appendix 3.</li> <li>8. Human Resources Advice and Implications</li> <li>8.1 There are no HR implications arising from this report</li> <li>9. Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults</li> <li>9.1 Not everyone has their own landline or mobile phone and therefore the criteria in section 2 has been used to assess this proposal by BT.</li> <li>10. Equalities and Human Rights Advice and Implications</li> <li>10.1 An initial equality screening assessment and equality analysis has been completed. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in section 2 have been used to assess phone box removal.</li> <li>11. Implications for Ward Priorities</li> <li>11.1 The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.</li> <li>12. Implications for Partners</li> <li>13. Risks and Mitigation</li> <li>13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto rem</li></ul>					
9.1 Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults  9.1 Not everyone has their own landline or mobile phone and therefore the criteria in section 2 has been used to assess this proposal by BT.  10. Equalities and Human Rights Advice and Implications  10.1 An initial equality screening assessment and equality analysis has been completed. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in section 2 have been used to assess phone box removal.  11. Implications for Ward Priorities  11.1 The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.  12. Implications for Partners  12.1 No implications have been identified.  13.1. Risks and Mitigation  13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.  14. Accountable Officers Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	7.1	proposed public phone box removals is compliant with the Communications Act 2003 as required, as well as the relevant guidance issued by Ofcom. Further information as to these legislative and procedural requirements is contained in			
9. Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults  9.1 Not everyone has their own landline or mobile phone and therefore the criteria in section 2 has been used to assess this proposal by BT.  10. Equalities and Human Rights Advice and Implications  10.1 An initial equality screening assessment and equality analysis has been completed. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in section 2 have been used to assess phone box removal.  11. Implications for Ward Priorities  11.1 The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.  12. Implications for Partners  12.1 No implications have been identified.  13.1. Risks and Mitigation  13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.  14. Accountable Officers Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	8.	Human Resources Advice and Implications			
9.1 Not everyone has their own landline or mobile phone and therefore the criteria in section 2 has been used to assess this proposal by BT.  10. Equalities and Human Rights Advice and Implications  10.1 An initial equality screening assessment and equality analysis has been completed. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in section 2 have been used to assess phone box removal.  11. Implications for Ward Priorities  11.1 The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.  12. Implications for Partners  13. Risks and Mitigation  13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.  14. Accountable Officers  Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	8.1	There are no HR implications arising from this report			
<ul> <li>section 2 has been used to assess this proposal by BT.</li> <li>Equalities and Human Rights Advice and Implications</li> <li>10.1 An initial equality screening assessment and equality analysis has been completed. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in section 2 have been used to assess phone box removal.</li> <li>11.1 Implications for Ward Priorities</li> <li>11.1 The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.</li> <li>12. Implications for Partners</li> <li>13. Risks and Mitigation</li> <li>13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.</li> <li>14. Accountable Officers</li> <li>Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport</li> </ul>	9.	Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults			
<ul> <li>An initial equality screening assessment and equality analysis has been completed. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in section 2 have been used to assess phone box removal.</li> <li>Implications for Ward Priorities</li> <li>The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.</li> <li>Implications for Partners</li> <li>No implications have been identified.</li> <li>Risks and Mitigation</li> <li>There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.</li> <li>Accountable Officers</li> <li>Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport</li> </ul>	9.1				
There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in section 2 have been used to assess phone box removal.  11. Implications for Ward Priorities  11.1 The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.  12. Implications for Partners  13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.  14. Accountable Officers  Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	10.	Equalities and Human Rights Advice and Implications			
11.1 The consent to the removal of particular payphones may contribute to tackling crime and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.  12. Implications for Partners  12.1 No implications have been identified.  13. Risks and Mitigation  13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.  14. Accountable Officers Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	10.1	There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. The criteria set out in the Communications Act 2003 and the internally derived criteria as set out in			
and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if residents cannot afford to use private phone services.  12. Implications for Partners  12.1 No implications have been identified.  13. Risks and Mitigation  13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.  14. Accountable Officers  Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	11.	Implications for Ward Priorities			
<ul> <li>12.1 No implications have been identified.</li> <li>13. Risks and Mitigation</li> <li>13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.</li> <li>14. Accountable Officers  Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport</li> </ul>	11.1	and anti-social behaviour. The objection to the removal of some payphones might contribute to the health and wellbeing of local residents, help reducing loneliness and social isolation and provide a facility for children, young people and families if			
<ul> <li>13.1 Risks and Mitigation</li> <li>13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.</li> <li>14. Accountable Officers Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport</li> </ul>	12.	Implications for Partners			
<ul> <li>13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.</li> <li>14. Accountable Officers Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport</li> </ul>	12.1	No implications have been identified.			
<ul> <li>13.1. There may be residents who have limited access to mobile phones and land line connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.</li> <li>14. Accountable Officers Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport</li> </ul>	12	Disks and Mitigation			
connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these risks.  14. Accountable Officers  Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	13.	Nisks and wildgation			
Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	13.1.	connections, particularly those on low incomes and elderly people. Limited access to call boxes for emergency purposes could jeopardise a timely response to an emergency situation. The public consultation carried out enables comments from stakeholders, and the Council to veto removal where appropriate, to mititgate these			
Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, Planning, Regeneration and Transport	1/	Accountable Officers			
	14.				
Simon Moss, Assistant Director – Planning, Regeneration and Transport		Simon Moss, Assistant Director – Planning, Regeneration and Transport			

## Approvals obtained on behalf of Statutory Officers:-

	Named Officer	Date
Chief Executive	Sharon Kemp	Click here to
		enter a date.
Strategic Director of Finance &	Graham Saxton	Click here to
Customer Services		enter a date.
(S.151 Officer)		
Head of Legal Services	Stuart Fletcher	Click here to
(Monitoring Officer)		enter a date.

Rachel Overfield, Planning Officer, racheloverfield@rotherham.gov.uk Report Author:

This report is published on the Council's <u>website</u>.