

Template for Local Authority Report

to

The Schools Adjudicator

from

Rotherham Local Authority

to be provided by

31 October 2025

Report Cleared by: Name Sarah Whitby

Job Title Service Lead - Access to Education

Telephone number 01709 255097

Email: sarah.whitby@rotherham.gov.uk

Date submitted: October 2025

By: Name Christopher Stones

Job Title Principal Officer – Access to Education

Telephone number 01709 254831

Email: christopher.stones@rotherham.gov.uk

Website: Office of the Schools Adjudicator

Please email your completed report to: Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2025 and earlier if possible

Contents

Introduction

Guidance on completing the template

Sec	ction 1 - Normal points of admission	. 5
A.	Co-ordination	. 5
B.	Looked after and previously looked after children	. 5
C.	Special educational needs and/or disabilities	. 6
Sec	ction 2 - In-year admissions	. 7
A.	Overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions	. 7
B.	Looked after children and previously looked after children	. 7
C.	Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities	. 8
D.	Fair access protocol	. 9
E.	Directions to maintained schools to admit children	11
F.	Other points on in-year admissions	11
Sec	ction 3 - Other matters	13
Sec	ction 4 - Feedback	13

Introduction

- 1. Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in the annual report to the Secretary of State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.30 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other matters. Paragraphs 6 and 3.30 of the Code require that each local authority publish its report locally.
- 2. This year's report must cover the 2024/2025 academic year and be submitted to the Office of the Schools Adjudicator (OSA) by 31 October 2025.
- 3. Please note that, in response to feedback on the previous template and in the light of consultation with a group of local authorities, changes have been made to various sections of this year's template.

Guidance on completing the template

- 4. We have included all the guidance on completing specific parts of the template in this section. There is no requirement for local authorities to include the introduction and the guidance in their published reports, but they are free to do so if they wish.
- 5. We should be grateful if in completing questions which ask for information about primary and secondary schools and/or pupils, local authorities would follow the approach to classification of schools used in statutory provisions and in the Department for Education Statistical First Release¹ and the Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002².
- 6. The Code sets out some minimum requirements on the contents of each local authority report stating that each must cover as a minimum "information about how admission arrangements in the area of the local authority serve the interests of looked after children and previously looked after children, children with disabilities and children with special educational needs, including any details of where problems have arisen."
- 7. The Department for Education's aim through the annual reports from local authorities is to understand how well the admissions system is working nationally, rather than to hold individual local authorities to account. By understanding the effectiveness of the system, including outcomes, the Department hopes to identify areas where the admissions system is working well and areas where it could be improved. With that in mind, when the template asks, "how well does the admission system serve the needs of

¹ Department for Education Statistical First Release

² The Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002

children," the Department appreciates that it is asking local authorities to make a subjective judgement, in the understanding that everyone's experience with the admission system will be different. The Department encourages local authorities in responding to the open questions and spaces for open comments to set out challenges that are proving difficult to overcome.

- 8. Guidance on specific questions and/or meaning of specific terms in this report:
 - a. "in-year admissions": This means admissions (that is children admitted to a school and not applications for places):
 - to a year group which is not a normal point of entry for the school concerned (for example to Year 2 for a five to eleven primary school); and
 - ii. after the end of the statutory waiting list period (31 December) to a year group which is a normal year of admission for the schools concerned (such as Year R and Year 7).
 - b. Not applicable means that there were no children falling within the relevant definition.
- 9. We welcome all comments that local authorities make in the comment boxes and we aim to reflect those comments in the Annual Report, but we ask for the comments to be entered under the right headings. Section 3 invites comment on any other matters not specifically addressed in this template if local authorities wish to do so. The views expressed in previous years also remain a matter of public record.
- 10. We ask that where possible, you return the template in Word instead of PDF formatting. A number of you have commented on the formatting of the template and we have tried to make it as accessible as possible, but we are aware that some local authorities use different versions of Word.
- 11. Where questions request a comparison with the previous year, any new local authorities formed as a result of reorganisation should note this on the form.

Information requested

Section 1 - Normal points of admission

A. Co-ordination

Which of the following best describes the level of challenge for your main admissions round in 24/25 compared to 23/24?

Year Group	Much less challenging	Less challenging	No change	More challenging	Much more challenging
Reception			X		
Year 7			Χ		
Other relevant years of entry			X		

Please give examples to illustrate your answer if you wish:
Sufficiency across Rotherham continues to meet need at usual points of admission, although falling numbers in Primary Schools is presenting a level of challenge. Certa schools are oversubscribed as a result of parental preference, but no catchment applications were refused in 2024/25.

B. Looked after and previously looked after children

•	How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of looked after children at normal points of admission ?
	\square Not at all \square Not well \square Well \boxtimes Very well \square Not applicable
ii.	How well do the admissions systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of children looked after by your local authority at normal points of admission ?
	\square Not at all \square Not well \square Well \boxtimes Very well \square Not applicable
iii.	How well does your admissions system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area at normal points of admission?

\square Not at all \square Not well \square Well \boxtimes Very well \square Not applicable
iv. How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of previously looked after children at normal points of admission?
\square Not at all \square Not well \square Well \boxtimes Very well \square Not applicable
v. If you wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and previously looked after children at normal points of admission :
We have not been contacted to support issues in relation to the admission of children in care or previously in care at normal points of admission.
C. Special educational needs and/or disabilities
i. How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who have an education, health and care plan that names a school at normal points of admission?
☐ Not at all ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Not applicable
Please provide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with special educational needs and/or disabilities at normal points of admission: Please provide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with special educational needs and/or disabilities at normal points of admission: 2024/25 Academic Year
Primary Phase Transitions (early years provider to school, infant school to junior school and primary school to secondary school) – 99.5% of placements agreed on deadline date of the 15th February
Secondary/Post 16 Phase Transitions (secondary school to post 16 institution or apprenticeship including YR 14) – 93.6% of placements agreed on deadline date of the 31st March

Section 2 - In-year admissions

A. Which of the following best describes the overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions in 24/25 compared to 23/24?

Phase	Much less challenging	Less challenging	No change	More challenging	Much more challenging
Primary		х			
Secondary		Х			

•		r admissions:
В.	Looke	ed after children and previously looked after children
	i.	How well does the in-year admission system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
	ii.	How well does the in-year admission systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
	iii.	How well does the in-year admission system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
	iv.	How well does your in-year admission system serve the interests of previously looked after children?
		\square Not at all \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable

which sup	ou wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties port or exemplify your answers about in-year admissions for looked previously looked after children:
but, in the	more challenges in relation to in-year admissions for children in care main, these are managed well. Challenges are greater where children tional needs and an EHCP and are in care.
C. Childr	en with special educational needs and/or disabilities
i.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who have an education, health and care plan that names a school when they need to be admitted in-year ?
	\square Not at all well \square Not well \boxtimes Well \square Very well \square Not applicable
ii.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who do not have an education, health and care plan when they need to be admitted in-year ?
	☐ Not at all well ☐ Not well ☐ Well ☒ Very well ☐ Do not know
support or of special edu iv. Plea support or of support o	se give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about in-year admissions for children with cational needs and/or disabilities: se give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about in-year admissions for children with locational needs and/or disabilities:
week asses	esses that determine whether a setting is named fall to the following: 20 sment process, annual review process (transitions/change of mediation/tribunal process.
	e on 20-week timeliness for 24/25 academic year: 80.4% of EHCP ts (554/689) were issued within statutory deadline of 20 weeks.
- Num - Num time: - Num - Num	ber of reviews completed in the date range: 2675 ber of reviews completed in the date range within the 12 months scale of the previous review: 1156 (43.2%) ber of reviews requiring an amendment: 1653 (61.8%) ber of reviews requiring an amendment issued within 12 weeks of the w meeting: 480 (29%)

Performance on mediation: Of the mediations conducted where an element of the appeal was in relation to placement, 37 medications were held, 19 were upheld by the LA and 18 were overturned in favour of the parent.

	Number	Upheld	Overturned
Section I only	22	12	10
Combinations of B, C, D, F, G, H and I	15	7	8
Total	37	19	18

Performance on tribunals: Between 1st September 2024 to the 31st August 2025, 65 appeals were received by the LA that included a request for change of placement.

21 of those appeals are ongoing.

of the 44 remaining:

7 were withdrawn by parents

7 were conceded by the LA (conceded on LA response)

26 were concluded by consent (where the LA and parents came to an agreement after the LA response).

3 went to a full hearing and found in favour of the LA (so appeal dismissed)

1 went to a full hearing and the outcome is awaited.

D. Fair access protocol

What proportion of the state-funded mainstream schools in your area have said that they agree to the local authority fair access protocol?

Primary	
Between 0% and 49%	
Between 50% and 74%	
Between 75% and 89%	
Between 90% and 99%	
100%	\boxtimes
Secondary	
Between 0% and 49%	
Between 50% and 74%	
Between 75% and 89%	
Between 90% and 99%	
100%	\boxtimes

	20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
If you have below 75% for either phase, please explain why:				
•	dren were admitted to school	•		
access protoco	I between 1 August 2024 and	d 31 July 2025?		
Type of school	Number of Primary aged	Number of Secondary		
	children admitted	aged children admitted		
Community and voluntary	8	0		
controlled	Ç	Ç		
Foundation, voluntary	24	81		
aided and academies	00	04		
Total	32	81		
ii. If you have seen a change in the number of children referred to your Fair				
Access Protocol between 1 August 2024 and 31 July 2025 compared to the previous academic year please indicate what you consider the key				
reasons for this change to be?				
	in referrals since 2021/22 the			
	nto 2024/25. The FAP was re 4/25 academic year and this			
	process has been more clea			
iii How well do you consider children referred to the Fair Access Protocol				
iii. How well do you consider children referred to the Fair Access Protocol are served in in your area?				
are served in it				
are served in ii	,			
	。 ·II □ Not well □ Well ⊠ Ve	ery well □ Not applicable		
	•	ery well Not applicable		
	•	ery well Not applicable		

iv. Please provide any comments you wish on the protocol not covered above:
All schools work within and contribute to the operation of the Protocol to admit
vulnerable children requiring a school place. Children are allocated and admitted in
a timely manner through regular locality meetings of school leaders. The FAP
works in a very child centred way, with children and young people usually being
offered a place in a catchment or next nearest school. Distance and ease of travel
to school is considered a priority when taking decisions regarding placement.

E. Directions to maintained schools to admit children³

How many directions did the local authority make between 1 August 2024 and 31 July 2025 to maintained schools for which the local authority is not the admission authority to admit children (including children looked after by the local authority but resident in another area)?

Total number of children	Of which, looked after	Of which, not looked after
0	0	0

F. Other points on in-year admissions

i.	For the schools for which the local authority co-ordinates in-year applications, in the year between 1 Aug 2024 and 31 July 2025 did you receive
	 ☐ Significantly fewer applications than last year ☐ slightly fewer applications than last year ☐ about the same ☐ slightly more than last year ☐ significantly more than last year
ii.	For what proportion of primary schools in your area did the local authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2024/2025 academic year
	Between 0% and 24% \square Between 25% and 49% \square Between 50% and 74% \square Between 75% and 100% \boxtimes

³ It is important that only Directions to maintained schools are included here. Numbers of Directions to academies are already held by the Department.

authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2024/2025 academic year	
Between 0% and 24% □	
Between 25% and 49% □	
Between 50% and 74% □	
Between 75% and 100% ⊠	
iv. If you wish, please provide any comments about how well in-year admissions works for children who are not looked after or previously looked after and/or do not have SEND:	
The number of In Year preferences made has fallen slightly in 2024/25 in comparison to the previous year, following these rising significantly since 2021/22.	
The majority of schools in the Borough continue to co-ordinate through the LA and this means that children can be tracked, monitored and placed in a timely manner.	
v. If you wish, please provide any other comments on the admission of children in-year not previously raised (you may wish to include here any comments about cases where it has not proved possible to find places for children):	

Section 3 - Other matters

Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?
Section 4 - Feedback
We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to nform our practice for 2026.

Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2025.