

CHILDREN, YOUNG PEOPLE AND FAMILIES PARTNERSHIP
Wednesday, 18th September, 2013

Present:- Councillor Lakin (in the Chair); Councillors Pickering and Roche, Steve Ashley, Claire Burton, Sara Graham, Jason Harwin, Barbara Murrey, Rachel Nicholls, Dr. David Polkinghorn, Dr. John Radford, Joyce Thacker, Janet Wheatley and Sarah Whittle .

Apologies for absence were received from Martin Kimber, Shona MacFarlane, Julie Mott, Sue Skalycz, Dorothy Smith and Chrissy Wright.

228. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the meeting held on 17th July, 2013, were considered and approved as a correct record.

Arising from Minute No. 226(B) (CAMHS), John Radford stated that there had been a number of issues regarding its re-organisation particularly around the thresholds for referrals.

Sarah Whittle stated that it was a Service commissioned by the CCG and they should be informed of any issues so they could be resolved through the contract process; this was not currently happening.

Any issue with CAMHS should be referred to the CCG for investigation.

229. ISSUES AND CONCERNS

Looked after Children's Council

- Currently meeting fortnightly for Voice and Influence training
- Also meeting weekly and holding additional weekend meetings to prepare presentations and complete high profile work
- 3 days residential at Manchester University Campus at Crewe, theme being 'Campaign don't Complain'.
- 3 Chill & Chat days held over the summer holidays
- Sub-group formed of leaving care young people who received basic peer consultation training and were supported in the design, development, delivery and analysis of feedback from Rotherham young people
- Involvement in a number of consultations including Health and Wellbeing, South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner survey

- Engagement in the interview process for the new Service Manager, Family Placement and Residential Services

Youth Cabinet

Due to issues regarding suicide and self harm in the Borough, it had been suggested to the Youth Cabinet that it was an important piece of work they may wish to undertake. They had given it some consideration and agreed that they would be interested in looking at self harm, what it meant for young people and making Services more accessible to them. They would like support with the work from partner agencies particularly the attendance of a Public Health representative to speak about what self harm meant in Rotherham and its prevalence etc.

David Polkinghorn reported that work had been undertaken between the CCG, RDaSH and CAMHS on the guidance and pathways of care covering the whole spectrum of the Health Services available. The work was almost finalised and may be appropriate to link the 2 together.

Young Carers Card

The Card was to be launched at 5.00 p.m. that day at MyPlace.

Child Sexual Exploitation

- The CSE Sub-Group met on a monthly basis.
- Action plan has been revised in light of the Home Select Commission and Rochdale Inquiry and would be sent to every named person who had an action in the plan by the end of the week. Colleagues would be invited to submit an update by the 7th October for the CSE Sub-Group meeting on the 9th with a full report to the December meeting of the Local Safeguarding Board.
- The Barnardos Review of CSE activity in the Borough. A report would be produced for the 7th October.
- The Chair of the Safeguarding Board was to conduct a diagnostic review of CSE on 17th and 18th October.
- The Authority had announced there was to be an independent inquiry into historical CSE. The Terms of Reference had now been agreed and would cover 1997-January, 2013. There was no timescale for completion as yet as the LGA was to commission the work independently of the Council and would agree the timeline with the independent person. All agencies would be looked at. The inquiry was to be welcomed and any partner asked to contribute would be urged to do so.
- HMI would be conducting a National Thematic Review of CSE in Rotherham on the 30th September. It would be very much focused on internet grooming.

- The Police and Crime Commissioner had commissioned 3 pieces of work – the Crown Prosecution Services' involvement in CSE, cold case review of CSE and a thorough review of the process and structures currently in place in South Yorkshire Police to investigate allegations of CSE.

Fostering

The inspection report had now been published. The Authority had received a "good" rating with only 2 recommendations.

230. IMPLICATIONS OF NEW MULTI-AGENCY FRAMEWORK

Sue Wilson, Performance and Quality Manager gave the following powerpoint presentation:-

Inspection of Services for Children in need of Help and Protection, Children Looked After and Leaving Care

A Single Inspection Framework encompassing

- Early Help
- Child Protection
- Looked After Children (previously in SLAC)
- Fostering
- Adoption
- Care Leavers
- LSCB

It would be announced and include 4 judgements

- Overall effectiveness
- The experiences and progress of children who need help and protection
- The experiences and progress of children looked after and achieving permanence (including Adoption and Care Leavers)
- Leadership, management and governance
- There would also be a review and grading of the effectiveness of the LSCB

Methodology

- 4 week inspection, 7 Inspectors
- Week 1 (onsite 3 days)
 - Set up
 - Key documents and full case list supplied within 24 hours
 - Evaluation of 'Front door'
- Week 2 (Inspectors off site)
 - Select 30 cases for the Authority to audit to be presented at start of week 3
- Weeks 3 and 4 (Inspectors onsite)
 - Main inspection activity (interviews, visits, attendance at groups)

and meetings etc.)

- Follow up on key themes from audited cases
- Look at approximately 200 further files
- Children's experiences were key, would include visits to children in and out of Authority placements
- Would look at the child's journey as a whole from help given at identification (Early help) through to Leaving Care

Areas covered during the inspection would include

- 1. The experiences and progress of children who needed help and protection
 - Were children listened to and practice influenced by their wishes and feelings
 - Did feedback from children and families inform the shape of Service delivery
 - Was help offered timely
 - Quality of referrals from partners
 - Information sharing with partners
 - Were thresholds understood by partners
 - Was decision making clearly recorded
 - Quality of plans (CIN, CPP)
 - Focus on Children Missing, CSE, Private Fostering, LADO investigations
 - Advocates for Children, Young People and Families were available
 - Practice was informed by feedback from Children, Young People and Families
- 2. The experiences and progress of children looked after and achieving permanence
 - Decision making was timely
 - Effective use of Public Law Outline, permanent plans were made
 - Evidence that families would change and it was safe to return
 - Children and young people were seen alone, knew how to complain and were safe from bullying
 - Children were in good health, had a range of activities, attended school and made progress, lived in stable, safe and appropriate homes
 - Care plans addressed the needs of children and were challenged by IROs where necessary
 - Appropriate supported contact with parents was in place
 - Family finding strategies informed by assessed need
 - Well trained Social Workers engaged with partners
- 2a. The quality of an Adoption Services
 - Adoption was considered for all children who were unable to return home
 - Recruitment, assessment and training of adopters enabled needs of children to be met
 - The Panel and agency decision maker ensured effective matching
 - Adoption support was available for those children, their birth and

adoption families

- 2b. The experiences and progress of Care Leavers
Care leavers were safe and felt safe
Pathway plans addresses all needs
Health needs were assessed and met with a full history provided to them
Relationships were maintained with carers and staff from the Local Authority
Skills, confidence, employment and life chances were developed
- 3. Leadership and Management
Clear linkages across all strategic bodies
The Local Authority knew itself well, was a learning organisation and feedback and complaints inform practice
Corporate Parenting was strong and champions progress in education and learning
Relationships with key parents were strong
The workforce was sufficient, stable and suitably qualified
Performance Management was rigorous

Annex A

- Normally requested with 24 hours from being onsite:-
To enable cases to be identified
Detailed case level data sets around the areas in scope (contacts, referrals, early help, CAF, CIN, CPP, LAC, Adoptions, Placement details and Care Leavers)
- Also document evidence around
Structures and workforce profiles
Performance, Outcomes and Impact analysis
Local Protocol for Assessment
Voice of the Child and customer feedback
Quality assurance and related actions
Complaints
RSCB minutes
Details of planned meetings during fieldwork
Key strategies
Thresholds

Judgement Grades

- Outstanding
- Good (the new minimum standard)
- Requires improvement
- Inadequate

Recommendations will focus on

- Immediate priorities
- Areas for development
- Strengths

The planning for the inspection will be in 3 tiers

- Annex A
 - Co-ordination – Performance and Quality
 - Quality Assurance – Team Managers and Social Workers
- Social Care practice – Safeguarding, Children and Families SMT
- Partnership approach – CYPS Improvement Panel
- CYPS Improvement panel would also have an overview around the preparedness for the inspection

Sue was thanked for the presentation.

231. ANNUAL LOCAL SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN'S BOARD REPORT AND BUSINESS PLAN

Steve Ashley, Independent Chair of the Local Safeguarding Children's Board, presented the 2012/13 Annual Report.

Boards were required to produce and publish an annual report on the effectiveness of safeguarding children in the local area as mandated in the Children Act 2004 (S14a) as amended by the Apprenticeships, Skills, Children and Learning Act 2009. Under the recently revised statutory guidance, the annual report should:-

- Provide a rigorous and transparent assessment of the performance and effectiveness of local services. It should identify areas of weakness, the cause of those weaknesses and the action being taken to address them as well as other proposals for action. The report should include lessons from reviews undertaken within the reporting period
- Be published in relation to the preceding financial year and should fit with local agencies' planning, commissioning and budget cycles.
- List the contributions made to the Board by partner agencies and details of what the Board had spent including on Child Death Reviews, Serious Case Reviews and other specific expenditure such as learning events or training. All Board member organisations had an obligation to provide Boards with reliable resources (including finance) that enabled it to be strong and effective. Members should share the financial responsibility for the Board in such a way that a disproportionate burden did not fall on a small number of partner agencies

Key priorities for Rotherham's Board, highlighted in the 2012/13 Annual Report, being progressed through the 2013/16 Business Plan and the work of the Sub-Groups included:-

- A multi-agency local protocol (framework) for the assessment of children

- A performance and quality framework to measure the effectiveness of Early Help Services on outcomes for children and their families
- A Learning and Improvement Framework to enable lessons learned to be translated into improved outcomes for children
- Revised protocols for effective governance and partnership arrangements within the Borough
- An updated LSCB constitution and revisions to its Sub-Groups so that they can deliver the work and priorities of the Board
- Ensure that the Child Sexual Exploitation Service, including other partners, were responsive to the needs of young people involved in or vulnerable to CSE through the implementation of the CSE Strategy and delivery of the CSE Action Plan
- Continue to develop the importance of understanding the child's voice and journey through services in particular the Child Protection process
- Ensure that children subject to a Child Protection Plan received thorough multi-agency assessments of need and risk, effective care plans that addressed these and reviewed them well

It was noted that the report was the work of the former Chair, Alan Hazel, and Phil Morris, Business Manager.

Resolved:- (1) That the report be noted.

(2) That the former Local Safeguarding Children's Board Chair, Alan Hazel, be thanked for his work together with Phil Morris, Business Manager, in compiling the report.

(3) That the report be submitted to the Health and Wellbeing Board and respective partner agencies for information.

232. YH HWB IMPROVING HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN

Further to Minute No. 214 of 22nd May, 2013, the revised Action Plan, which considered ways to improve health outcomes for children within Rotherham, was considered.

A sub-group had met and agreed 3 areas where it was felt further work was required as set out on the plan.

A further report would be submitted in January, 2014.

Resolved:- That the action plan be noted.

233. ANY OTHER BUSINESS.

Voluntary Action Rotherham

Janet Wheatley reported that VAR had been worked with the British Council for Future Years on international programme working. There was an opportunity to young people (18-25 years) to participate in an exchange visit to Sri Lanka and was also open to those in employment.

234. DATE AND TIME OF FUTURE MEETINGS

Resolved:- That meetings be held for the remainder of 2013/14 Municipal year as follows:-

20th November, 2013

22nd January, 2014

19th March

21st May

16th July

all commencing at 2.00 p.m. and held in the Rotherham Town Hall.