

Public Report Overview and Scrutiny Management Board

Summary Sheet

Committee Name and Date of Committee Meeting

Overview and Scrutiny Management Board - 13 February 2019

Report Title

Petition – Name the public space outside Boots in Rotherham Town Centre 'Chuckle Square'

Is this a Key Decision and has it been included on the Forward Plan? No

Strategic Director Approving Submission of the Report Shokat Lal, Assistant Chief Executive

Report Author(s)

James McLaughlin, Head of Democratic Services 01709 822477 or james.mclaughlin@rotherham.gov.uk

Ward(s) Affected

Boston Castle

Summary

At the Council meeting on 23 January 2019, it was noted that a petition had been received in respect of a request re-name public space in Rotherham Town Centre as "Chuckle Square". As the petition had 681 valid signatures under the Council's petition scheme, it has been referred to Overview and Scrutiny Management Board for review.

This report sets the process that the Board should follow in considering the call for action contained within the petition.

Recommendations

- 1. That the petition be considered according to the procedure set out in paragraph 4.2.
- 2. That consideration be given to whether the call for action in the petition should be supported or not.
- 3. That the Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Management Board report back to Council on the outcome of deliberations on the petition.

List of Appendices Included

Appendix 1 Petition Front Sheet

Background Papers Minutes of Council – 23 January 2019

Consideration by any other Council Committee, Scrutiny or Advisory Panel No

Council Approval Required No

Exempt from the Press and Public No

Petition – Name the public space outside Boots in Rotherham Town Centre 'Chuckle Square'

1. Recommendations

- 1.1 That the petition be considered according to the procedure set out in paragraph 4.2.
- 1.2 That consideration be given to whether the call for action in the petition should be supported or not.
- 1.3 That the Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Management Board report back to Council on the outcome of deliberations on the petition.

2. Background

2.1 At the Council meeting held on 23 January 2019, a petition to request the renaming of public space outside of Boots in Rotherham Town Centre as 'Chuckle Square' was formally received. The petition contained 681 valid signatures under the Council's Petition Scheme and was accordingly referred to the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board for review. The 'front sheet' of the petition is enclosed as Appendix 1 to this report.

3. Key Issues

- 3.1 The petition requests that the Council considers renaming the public space outside of Boots in Rotherham Town Centre as 'Chuckle Square'.
- 3.2 The Council has referred the petition to the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board to review
- 3.3 The lead petitioner has been invited to attend the Board and may make verbal representations for up to fifteen minutes. The Board then has the opportunity to seek further information from the lead petitioner through questions.

4. Options considered and recommended proposal

- 4.1 A petition is a call for action and the role of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board in reviewing the petition is to ensure that consideration is given to that call for action and to review any associated decision making processes. In this particular case, the Board should consider the merits of the case made by the petitioners and determine whether recommendations should be made to give effect to the call for action.
- 4.2 In considering the petition, the following procedure, subject to the Chair's discretion, will be followed in accordance with the Council's Petition Scheme:
 - 1. The Chair will welcome attendees to the meeting and explain the procedure that will be followed at the meeting.
 - 2. The Lead Petitioner will have the opportunity to present the call for action in the petition for a period of up to fifteen minutes.

- 3. Members may ask questions of the Lead Petitioner in respect of the presentation for a period of up to fifteen minutes.
- 4. The relevant Cabinet Member and/or officers will present the background to the issue and respond to the issues raised in the petition and the statement by the Lead Petitioner.
- 5. The Lead Petitioner may put questions to the Cabinet Member and/or officers for the purposes of clarification for a period of up to five minutes.
- 6. Members may ask questions of the Cabinet Member and/or officers.
- 7. Following the conclusion of questions, Members may debate the merits of the petition and the Council's position.
- 8. The Chair will invite Members to propose a recommendation(s) on petition, which will either support or reject the petition. In recommending either, the Board may make further recommendations to Council or Cabinet on any lessons learned from the petition or decision making process.
- 4.3 At the conclusion of the discussion, the Chair will advise the Lead Petitioner that formal notification of the Board's recommendation will be provided in writing within ten working days and published on the Council's website as part of the minutes of the meeting.

5. Timetable and Accountability for Implementing this Decision

- 5.1 Overview and Scrutiny Management Board is responsible for considering the request to review the petition and communicating the outcome of the review within ten working days to the lead petitioner.
- 5.2 If Members determine that the request is valid and requires further investigation, then Overview and Scrutiny Management Board will provide direction on whether it requires further consideration by an officer, the Cabinet or whether the response should be considered by the Council. The petition scheme does not provide a timescale for this to be completed, but where consideration is required by either Cabinet or Council this will be listed on the agenda for the next available meeting.
- 5.3 No further will action will be required if Overview and Scrutiny Management Board do not support the petition.

6. Financial and Procurement Implications

6.1 If the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board were minded to agree with the call for action in the petition and make a recommendation to the Cabinet in respect of re-naming the space, analysis of financial implications would be reported to the decision maker prior to any final determination.

7. Legal Implications

7.1 There are no legal implications directly associated with this report.

8. Human Resources Implications

8.1 There are no human resources implications arising from this report.

9. Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults

9.1 In considering the petition, Members should seek assurances that the implications for children and young people and vulnerable adults have been addressed.

10. Equalities and Human Rights Implications

10.1 Members should be mindful of equalities when considering the call for action within the petition. Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 in particular imposes an obligation on Members to have due regard to protecting and promoting the welfare and interests of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic (such as: age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex and sexual orientation).

11. Implications for Partners

11.1 The call for action within the petition does not directly impact on partners.

12. Risks and Mitigation

12.1 As above, the call for action within the petition does not directly present any risks to the Council.