| | TO: | Improving Lives Select Commission | |
|----------|--------------|--|--|
| | DATE: | 21 September 2021 | |
| BRIEFING | LEAD OFFICER | David McWilliams Assistant Director Early Help and Family Engagement david.mcwilliams@rotherham.gov.uk | |
| | TITLE: | YOT HMIP Inspection Action Plan Progress Report | |

1 Background

1.1 This paper provides an update against progress on the YOT Inspection Action Plan, following the HMIP inspection of Rotherham Youth Offending Team in September 2020.

The subsequent report was published in December 2020 which made five recommendations and awarded an overall judgement of Requires Improvement.

Rotherham Youth Offending Team was inspected under the HMIP 'Small YOT' inspection Framework which is new. Peterborough, Windsor Maidenhead and Rotherham were selected to be the first Youth Offending Teams to be inspected under this new framework.

Because of the impact of COVID-19, the inspection was conducted remotely. Meetings and case interviews were arranged and facilitated using Microsoft Teams.

The Inspection was carried out across three 'Domains' and within these domains there are 12 standards. Judgements were made against the following areas;

Domain One (Organisational delivery):

- Governance and leadership
- Staff
- Partnerships and services
- Information and facilities

Domain Two (Court Disposals):

- Assessment
- Planning
- Implementation and delivery
- Reviewing
- Risk, safety and wellbeing.

Domain Three (Out-of-Court disposals)

- Assessment
- Planning Inadequate
- Implementation and Delivery
- Joint Working

As part of the inspection requirement, Surveys were also completed by our, Children & Young People, Staff and Volunteers.

1.2 The report makes five recommendations.

The Chair of the YOT Management Board should:

1. Make sure that Board members understand the specific needs of children known to the YOT and advocate on their behalf in their own agencies.

The YOT Management Board should:

- 2. Ensure the partnership understands the reasons for the significant number of Looked After Children known to the YOT and reviews the policies and practices of all agencies to minimise the possibility of children entering the criminal justice system unnecessarily.
- 3. Undertake a comprehensive health needs analysis of YOT children to better understand the health provision being delivered and what needs to be developed.
- 4. Review the quality and accessibility of education, training and employment provision for post-16-year-old children known to the service.

The YOT Service Manager should:

5. Review the quality of risk of harm work and improve the effectiveness of management oversight in all cases.

1.3 Areas noted as Strengths:

- The Chair of the YOT Management Board is very committed to his role and is a strong advocate for children.
- There is priority given to involving children, listening to what they say and responding to their feedback in order to influence future service delivery.
- The Management Board and the partnership are aware that there is a disproportionate number of black, Asian and minority ethnic children known to the YOT, and have projects in place to try to address the issue.
- YOT staff do all they can to encourage good engagement and compliance from the child and their family, and staff and managers are child-centred and know their children well.
- The YOT has good transition arrangements with the National Probation Service and the Community Rehabilitation Company, which includes regular transition meetings where cases are monitored and reviewed.
- The service has good links with the Special Educational Needs and Disabilities
 Team, and the Head of Inclusion is a member of the YOT Management Board.

| 1.4 | Across the 12 standards, three were judged to be inadequate. | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | Domain Two (Court Disposals), Assessments were rated as inadequate. This judgement relates to 6 cases that were inspected out of a cohort of 15 (40%). | | | | |
| | Domain Three (Out of Court Disposals), Planning; 'The quality of planning is rated as 'Inadequate'. Planning relating to desistance was outstanding but planning for a child's safety and wellbeing and keeping other people safe was inadequate, and this has led to the overall rating of 'Inadequate.' | | | | |
| | Implementation and Delivery were also judged to be inadequate. 'The quality of implementing and delivering interventions and services is rated as 'Inadequate'. Delivering services to promote desistance was good; however, for safety and wellbeing and keeping other people safe it was inadequate.' 4 Cases were inspected out of a cohort of 181 (2.2% of cases). | | | | |
| 1.5 | In the lead inspector's feedback she "recognised that the Rotherham YOT was on an improvement journey" and stated that inspectors "were in no doubts, that if they had visited in 6 months' time or we weren't in the middle of a pandemic they would have found us further along the journey." | | | | |
| 1.6 | The inspection was unable to take into account the huge volume of successful preventative work the service does to keep young people out of the criminal justice system in the first place. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2 | Key Issues | | | | |
| 2.1 | Key Issues Whats Working Well | | | | |
| | | No. of | | | |
| | Whats Working Well CURRENT RAG STATUS | No. of actions: | | | |
| | CURRENT RAG STATUS Action may exceed original target date | | | | |
| | CURRENT RAG STATUS Action may exceed original target date Action progressing and on track | actions: | | | |
| | CURRENT RAG STATUS Action may exceed original target date Action progressing and on track Action completed | actions: | | | |
| | CURRENT RAG STATUS Action may exceed original target date Action progressing and on track | actions: | | | |
| | CURRENT RAG STATUS Action may exceed original target date Action progressing and on track Action completed | actions: 3 43 | | | |
| | CURRENT RAG STATUS Action may exceed original target date Action progressing and on track Action completed Actiondue to start | actions: 3 43 completed. | Informed Practice, | | |
| 2.1 | CURRENT RAG STATUS Action may exceed original target date Action progressing and on track Action completed Actiondue to start 43 of the the 46 actions on the plan have been of the staff have undergone training in Unconditional | actions: 3 43 completed. al Bias, Trauma | | | |

| 3.1 Review own agency policies and procedures to ensure that these are aligned protocol for LAC: (YOT Management board representatives) 3.2 Hold workshops for staff across CYPS and partnership to update knowledge (Linked to 3.1) 3.3 Individual Board Members to provide disproportionality analysis of own servirelation to BAME, LAC, Health, SEND, EET, Exclusions: (YOT Management representatives). 3.4 The Outstanding three Actions will be addressed at the YOT Board on 22 Second 2021. 4 Key Actions and Relevant Timelines 4.1 14 -17 September 2020 – HMIP Fieldwork took place in Rotherham 14 December 2020 – YOT Management Board 17 December 2020 – HMIP Report published 19 January 2021 – BLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 32 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 32 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 34 Recommendations 35.1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at American 2011) 1 That ILSC note the progress ma | |
|--|---------------------|
| (Linked to 3.1) 3.3 Individual Board Members to provide disproportionality analysis of own servirelation to BAME, LAC, Health, SEND, EET, Exclusions: (YOT Management representatives). 3.4 The Outstanding three Actions will be addressed at the YOT Board on 22 Se 2021. 4 Key Actions and Relevant Timelines 4.1 14-17 September 2020 – HMIP Fieldwork took place in Rotherham 14 December 2020 – YOT Management Board 17 December 2020 – HMIP Report published 11 January 2021 – HMIP Action plan submitted 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | e aligned with |
| relation to BAME, LAC, Health, SEND, EET, Exclusions: (YOT Management representatives). 3.4 The Outstanding three Actions will be addressed at the YOT Board on 22 Se 2021. 4 Key Actions and Relevant Timelines 4.1 14 -17 September 2020 – HMIP Fieldwork took place in Rotherham 14 December 2020 – YOT Management Board 17 December 2020 – HMIP Report published 11 January 2021 – HMIP Action plan submitted 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board Recommendations | owledge and skills |
| 4. Key Actions and Relevant Timelines 4.1 14 -17 September 2020 – HMIP Fieldwork took place in Rotherham 14 December 2020 – YOT Management Board 17 December 2020 – HMIP Report published 11 January 2021 – HMIP Action plan submitted 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 4.1 14 -17 September 2020 – HMIP Fieldwork took place in Rotherham 14 December 2020 – YOT Management Board 17 December 2020 – HMIP Report published 11 January 2021 – HMIP Action plan submitted 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | on 22 September |
| 14 December 2020 – YOT Management Board 17 December 2020 – HMIP Report published 11 January 2021 – HMIP Action plan submitted 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 17 December 2020 – HMIP Report published 11 January 2021 – HMIP Action plan submitted 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 11 January 2021 – HMIP Action plan submitted 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 19 January 2021 – SLT Report 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 20 January 2021 – Extraordinary YOT Board 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021- Corporate Parenting Panel 15 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 26 January 2021 – Improving Lives Select Commission 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021- Corporate Parenting Panel 15 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 8 February 2021 – Safer Rotherham Partnership 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021- Corporate Parenting Panel 15 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 11 February 2021 – CEO group 2 March 2021- Corporate Parenting Panel 15 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 2 March 2021- Corporate Parenting Panel 15 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 15 March 2021 – YOT Management Board 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 16 September 2021 – CEO's 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 21 September 2021 – Improving Lives Select Comission 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 22 September 2021 – YOT Management Board 5 Recommendations | |
| 5 Recommendations | |
| | |
| I 5.1 I I hat II S() note the progress made against the action plan (as detailed at A | |
| to date. | iled at Appendix 1) |