

Public Report Council

Committee Name and Date of Committee Meeting

Council - 22 May 2024

Report Title

Petitions

Is this a Key Decision and has it been included on the Forward Plan?

Strategic Director Approving Submission of the Report

Sharon Kemp, Chief Executive

Report Author(s)

Samantha Mullarkey, Governance Advisor 01709 247916 or samantha.mullarkey@rotherham.gov.uk

Ward(s) Affected

Borough-Wide

Report Summary

This report provides Members with a list of all petitions received by Rotherham MBC since the last Council meeting held on 28 February 2024 and details which petitions will be presented by members of the public at this Council meeting.

This report is submitted for Members' awareness of the items to be presented to the Council meeting.

Recommendations

- 1. That the report be received.
- 2. That the Council receive the petitions listed at paragraph 2.1 of the report and the lead petitioners or their representatives be entitled to address the Council for a total period of five minutes in accordance with the Council's Petition Scheme.
- 3. That the relevant Strategic Director be required to respond to the lead petitioners, as set out in the Petition Scheme, by Wednesday 6 June 2024.

List of Appendices Included None

Background Papers

None

Consideration by any other Council Committee, Scrutiny or Advisory Panel No

Council Approval Required

Yes

Exempt from the Press and Public

No

PetitionsPetitions

1. Background

- 1.1 The Council refreshed its Petition Scheme in May 2019, following its introduction in 2010 after legislative changes requiring local authorities to respond to petitions. Whilst the Localism Act 2011 repealed that statutory requirement, the Council has maintained its commitment to responding to issues raised by local people and communities in respect of matters within the Council's remit.
- 1.2 The current Petition Scheme sets thresholds for various routes that petitions can take through the decision-making process:-
 - Up to 20 signatures not accepted as a petition.
 - 20 to 599 signatures five-minute presentation to Council by Lead Petitioner and response by relevant Strategic Director.
 - 600 to 1,999 signatures five-minute presentation to Council by Lead Petitioner and referral to Overview and Scrutiny Management Board for review of the issues, followed by response by the Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Management Board setting out their findings and recommendations.
 - 2,000 signatures and above five-minute presentation to Council by Lead Petitioner followed by a 15-minute debate of the petition by the Council.
- 1.3 This report is submitted for information to detail the number of petitions received since the previous Council meeting held on 28 February 2024 and the route that these petitions will take through the Council's decision-making processes.

2. Key Issues

2.1 The following petitions have been received which meet the threshold for presentation to the Council meeting and for a response to be issued by the relevant Strategic Director:

Subject	Number of Valid Signatures	Lead Petitioners	Directorate
Opposition to	101 valid	Lewis Mills	Regeneration
Racecourse Road	(4 not valid)		and
Speed Humps			Environment
Support for Speed	140 valid	Anthony	Regeneration
Humps, Racecourse	(1 not valid)	Johnson	and
Road, Swinton			Environment

3. Options considered and recommended proposal

3.1 This report is submitted for information and Members are recommended to note the content and resolve that the petition received be administered in accordance with the provisions of the Council's Petition Scheme.

4. Consultation on proposal

4.1 This report is submitted for information in order to detail the petition received by the Council since the previous Council meeting held on 28 February 2024. There are no consultation issues directly associated with this report.

5. Timetable and Accountability for Implementing this Decision

- 5.1 Under the provisions of the Council's Petition Scheme, Council will debate the petition and consider its response.
- 5.2 The Strategic Director is required to provide a written response to each lead petitioner within 10 working days of the meeting. Responses are therefore due by Thursday 6 June 2024.

6. Financial and Procurement Advice and Implications

6.1 There are no financial or procurement implications directly associated with this report.

7. Legal Advice and Implications

7.1 There are no legal implications directly associated with this report.

8. Human Resources Advice and Implications

8.1 There are no human resources implications directly associated with this report.

9. Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults

9.1 There are no implications for either children and young people or vulnerable adults directly arising from this report.

10. Equalities and Human Rights Advice and Implications

10.1 There are no specific equalities or human rights implications directly associated with this report.

11. Implications for Ward Priorities

11.1 There are no direct implications on ward priorities arising from the petition referred to earlier in this report.

12. Implications for Partners

12.1 There are no known implications for partners arising from the petition referred to earlier in this report.

13. Risks and Mitigation

13.1 As this report is submitted for information, there are no risks associated with the presentation of information in respect of petitions received.

14. Accountable Officers

Emma Hill, Head of Democratic Services

Report Author Samantha Mullarkey, Governance Advisor

01709 247916 or samantha.mullarkey@rotherham.gov.uk

This report is published on the Council's website.