

Appendix 5

Responsible Authority 1 - Licensing Authority

Community Safety and Street Scene

Licensing, Riverside House, Main Street, Rotherham, S60 1AE

Direct Line: 01709 254955

E.mail: licensing@rotherham.gov.uk



My Reference:
ASP/1500/150726

Your Reference:

Please ask for:
Alan Pogorzelec

Date:
15th July 2026

To:

Diane Kraus

diane.kraus@rotherham.gov.uk

Copy to:

Audrey Bailey

audrey.bailey@rotherham.gov.uk

Dear Mrs Kraus,

Licensing Act 2003 – application for the grant of a Premises Licence

Carlton Park Hotel, 102-104 Moorgate Road, Moorgate, Rotherham, S60 2BG

I write on behalf of Rotherham MBC acting in the role of a Responsible Authority and with reference to the application for the grant of a Premises Licence in respect of the above premises.

Having reviewed the Operating Schedule provided as part of the application, the Licensing Authority is opposed the grant of this application as it considers that the applicant has not sufficiently demonstrated that the steps they are proposing will sufficiently promote the Public Safety, Prevention of Crime and Disorder, Public Nuisance and the Protection of Children from Harm licensing objectives.

The Licensing Authority is of this view for the following reasons:

1. The operating schedule lacks detail with regard to the steps that the applicant will take to promote the licensing objectives, in particular:
 - i. There is a reference to trained staff and professional management but no further details of the nature of this have been provided.
 - ii. There are no details of how the need for SIA security personnel will be assessed.
 - iii. Safe occupancy levels are mentioned but there is no detail on how occupancy levels would be calculated.
 - iv. There is a statement that customers will be dispersed quietly, but it is not clear how this will be done.
 - v. There is a reference to "accepted ID" but there are no details with regard to what which forms of ID would meet this requirement.

Appendix 5

2. There are residential properties in the immediate vicinity that would be affected by noise generated by the premises. The applicant has not provided any detail with regard to the steps that will be taken to minimise the impact of licensable activity on these premises. This is especially concerning considering that the applicant is seeking permission to provide regulated entertainment until 4am during a broad range of events and occasions.
3. It is understood that the hotel is currently used to temporarily accommodate homeless individuals. The applicant has not taken into consideration the vulnerable nature of these people, nor the potential impact that the sale of alcohol within the hotel is likely to have. The Licensing Authority is concerned that this activity will increase the already significant levels of crime and disorder within the area, and the applicant has not provided sufficient information of the steps that would be taken to prevent this.

The Licensing Authority is open to further discussion with the applicant with regard to these matters and will consider withdrawing the representation if agreement can be reached with regard to the above concerns.

I am prepared to expand upon these matters further during a hearing before the Council's Licensing Sub-Committee, but in the meantime please feel free to contact me if you require anything further.

Yours Sincerely,

Alan Pogorzelec
Licensing Manager

Appendix 5

Other Person 1 – Councillor Taiba Yasseen

From: Cllr Taiba Yasseen <Taiba.Yasseen@rotherham.gov.uk>

Sent: 14 July 2026 08:00

Please find attached our objection and request to refuse the Carlton Park Hotel licence application.

As previously stated, this objection is being re-submitted jointly on behalf of Moorgate residents and me as the ward councillor.

Residents who still wish to submit a response have been informed of the deadline and the urgency of doing so. In light of this, I have informed residents to respond directly via email to the Licensing Service, either to confirm their support for this collective objection or if they prefer, to submit their own individual representations.

Thank you for your consideration.

Application: Hotel and Resorts Ltd

Premises: Carlton Park Hotel, 102-104 Moorgate Road, S60 2BG

Submitted by: Councillor Taiba Yasseen (Boston Castle Ward) & Moorgate Residents

Representation in objection

We are submitting this representation in objection to the above premises licence application. The objection is made on the basis that granting the licence would risk undermining all four licensing objectives under the Licensing Act 2003.

The application seeks permission to sell alcohol from 8.00am to midnight from Sunday to Thursday and from 8.00am to 1.00am on Friday and Saturday. It also seeks broadly defined non-standard hours, potentially extending alcohol sales, entertainment and other activities until 4.00am for weddings, private functions, bank holidays and similar events.

My concerns relate particularly to the extensive hours, outdoor alcohol service, amplified entertainment, late night events, takeaway refreshments and the potential for significant late night congregation and dispersal.

This is a specific objection based on the local context surrounding Carlton Park Hotel. Residents and I, as councillor for Boston Castle Ward, have raised repeated and well documented concerns about street drinking, drug use, anti-social behaviour, intimidation, disorder and community safety.

The hotel is located close to Oakwood High School, Thomas Rotherham College and other education provision, including Moorgate Daycare Nursery and Broom Valley Community School Foundation Stage 1. An estimated 3,000 children and young people under the age of 18 attend nearby institutions or travel through the wider area. This makes the licensing objective of protecting children from harm particularly relevant.

Appendix 5

Although the area is not currently designated as a School Superzone, it has many of the characteristics that would justify consideration of such an approach. The School Superzone model recognises that children's health, safety and wellbeing are affected by the wider environment around educational settings, including exposure to alcohol, tobacco and drugs, poor air quality, unhealthy commercial influences and community safety risks.

Given the concentration of education provision around Carlton Park, a precautionary approach should be taken to any proposal that may increase children's exposure to alcohol related activity or unsafe public spaces.

This is not a limited application for a small hotel bar or occasional televised sporting events. It seeks permission for a wide range of activities, including amplified live and recorded music, plays, dance performances, private parties, cultural events, weddings and other functions.

The premises plan identifies several wedding halls, bars, dining areas and function spaces, creating the potential for multiple events, significant numbers of customers and substantial late night dispersal.

My understanding is that the premises may not be within a formally designated cumulative impact area. However, the absence of such a designation does not remove the need to consider the specific cumulative effect of an additional alcohol licence in an area already experiencing documented crime, disorder, public nuisance and safeguarding concerns, especially Child safeguarding.

As ward councillor, I continue to receive complaints relating to the area. In 2024, at the height of community concerns, I was receiving complaints several times a week. The initial escalation and continuing pattern of anti-social behaviour were closely linked in residents reports and councillor casework to the Council's decision to use Carlton Park Hotel under its homelessness accommodation arrangements. This represented a substantial change in the hotel's use and occupancy, yet it occurred without adequate consultation with the nearby school, college, nursery, residents or ward councillors and without sufficient community support arrangements, management controls or coordinated measures to protect the surrounding neighbourhood.

It significantly changed the character of the hotel and neighbouring streets, which residents had previously viewed as safe, peaceful and settled.

Although the frequency of complaints has fluctuated, the underlying issues have not been resolved. I received an email in June 2026 confirming that anti-social behaviour identified in 2024 remains ongoing.

There is a documented and continuing pattern of street drinking, drug activity, anti-social and theft (Tesco) behaviour in the area, alongside a persistent sense among residents, particularly women, that the area is unsafe.

Appendix 5

1. Prevention of crime and disorder

There is already a documented pattern of street drinking, drug use and alcohol related anti-social behaviour in and around the Carlton Park Hotel area.

Residents have reported groups congregating, intimidation, shouting, disorder, drug activity, sexual acts and other behaviour that causes people to feel unsafe.

These concerns increased following a substantial change in the hotel's use and occupancy (ref: Council contract) without adequate consultation, community support infrastructure or coordinated management arrangements. The impact has been lasting and continues to place pressure on residents, nearby educational institutions, local businesses, public services and ward councillors.

The application seeks extensive alcohol sale hours, beginning at 8.00am every day and extending until 1.00am at weekends. It also seeks broadly defined non-standard hours potentially continuing until 4.00am for weddings, private functions, bank holidays and other similar events.

The availability of alcohol over these extended periods, combined with outdoor drinking, amplified entertainment and large functions, creates an identifiable risk of intoxicated

customers leaving or congregating near existing street drinking locations and school and college routes.

The proposed hours increase the risk of alcohol related disorder, intoxication, late night dispersal and congregation in an area where residents already report continuing problems.

2. Public safety

Public safety is already a significant concern. Female residents in particular have reported feeling unsafe (even to walk in the area) because of behaviour around the hotel and neighbouring streets.

Residents who previously viewed the area as safe and peaceful have described a clear change in its atmosphere and in their confidence to move around the neighbourhood.

The issue is not limited to incidents inside the premises. The Council must consider the effect of alcohol availability and licensed activities on surrounding streets, public spaces, routes to school and college, and the wider neighbourhood.

The area is used by children, students, families, residents, staff and visitors. Any increase in street drinking, intoxication, congregation or late night dispersal would undermine public safety and residents' confidence in using their neighbourhood safely.

Appendix 5

The extensive proposed hours, including potential operation until 4.00am, create additional concerns regarding crowd management, intoxication, vehicle movements and the safe dispersal of customers following large functions and alcohol led events.

The application does not provide a meaningful maximum capacity, stating only that attendance will be fewer than 5,000 people.

Given the number of bars, wedding halls and function spaces shown on the premises plan, the absence of clear capacity limits for individual rooms and for the premises as a whole makes it difficult to assess the likely impact on public safety, crowd management, security, parking and dispersal.

3. Prevention of public nuisance

Residents have already experienced public nuisance linked to the Carlton Park Hotel area, including noise, groups gathering, street drinking, drug activity, intimidation, litter and anti-social behaviour.

As ward councillor, I continue to receive complaints and have witnessed issues myself. In 2024, complaints were being received several times a week, reflecting the seriousness of the disruption and distress caused to residents. Although the frequency has reduced, the problems remain unresolved due to the change in the area's culture.

The application proposes alcohol service in outdoor patio and garden areas. Outdoor drinking is likely to increase noise, smoking, litter, congregation and disturbance, particularly during evenings, summer months and private functions.

The application does not appear to provide clear controls on the capacity, operating hours or supervision of these outdoor areas.

The proposed late night refreshment provision includes takeaway service until 2.00am at weekends and potentially until 4.00am on special occasions. This creates an additional risk of customers congregating outside, increased vehicle movements, noise, litter and disturbance following alcohol led events.

The proposed non-standard hours are drafted in very broad terms and are not confined to a limited number of clearly identified dates. References to weddings, private functions, “similar events” and “other special occasions” could allow frequent operation until 4.00am without clear limits, advance notification or additional safeguards.

The proposed hours, outdoor alcohol service, amplified entertainment and takeaway provision therefore create a risk of repeated late night noise, traffic, congregation and disturbance to nearby residents.

Appendix 5

Granting this licence risks adding to existing public nuisance in an area that has already absorbed a significant change in the hotel's use and its impact on the surrounding neighbourhood.

4. Protection of children from harm

This is a particularly important concern given the concentration of schools, college provision, childcare and other educational settings near the premises, together with the documented towns history linked to CSE and since 23/24 anti-social behaviour in the immediate area.

An estimated 3,000 children and young people under the age of 18 attend nearby educational settings or travel through the vicinity of the hotel.

Residents have reported individuals urinating against the walls of homes opposite and close to the hotel, exposing themselves in public and an incident of sexual activity in public. Children and young people should not be exposed to such behaviour, alongside street drinking, intoxication, drug activity, disorder and intimidation, on their routes to school, college or home.

The protection of children from harm must include consideration of the wider safeguarding environment and should not be limited to preventing direct underage alcohol sales.

The applicant's proposed child protection measures are limited to checking identification and requiring children to be accompanied by a guardian.

These measures do not address the wider safeguarding risks arising from children and young people being exposed to intoxicated adults, late night events, smoking, outdoor drinking, disorder, sexualised behaviour, drug activity or unsafe public spaces near education settings.

Alcohol sales are proposed from 8.00am every day, directly overlapping with the arrival period for nearby schools, the college and other education provision.

This reinforces the need to consider children's exposure to alcohol related activity, outdoor drinking, smoking, intoxicated adults and associated vehicle movements along education routes.

Licensed areas and outdoor provision

The application refers to alcohol being served in designated outdoor patio and garden areas. However, these areas do not appear to be clearly identified or labelled on the submitted premises plan.

Clarification is required regarding the precise boundaries of the proposed licensed area, including:

Appendix 5

- where alcohol may be sold and consumed outdoors
- the capacity of the outdoor areas
- their permitted hours of use
- their proximity to residents and education routes and
- how they will be supervised and managed

Insufficient proposed safeguards

The measures proposed by the applicant are insufficiently detailed to address the specific risks associated with this location.

The application states only that SIA security staff will be employed “when required” and that customers will be dispersed quietly.

It does not define:

- when security staff will be mandatory
- the minimum number of security staff required
- how large events and multiple functions will be managed
- how outdoor drinking areas will be supervised
- how noise and litter will be controlled
- how residents’ complaints will be handled
- how children and vulnerable people will be safeguarded or
- how customers will be safely dispersed late at night

Requested outcome

The concerns described above have previously been reported to South Yorkshire Police and relevant Council services.

I ask the Licensing Authority to establish what information is held by those responsible authorities and whether that evidence supports the concerns raised in this representation.

Our primary request is that the premises licence application is refused.

If the Sub-Committee is not willing to refuse this application, we request that it considers whether the identified risks can be addressed only through stringent, specific and enforceable conditions, including:

- no off-sales of alcohol
- no takeaway late night refreshments
- no outdoor alcohol service after a specified evening time
- alcohol sales restricted to hotel residents, diners and bona fide event guests
- no alcohol sales from 8.00am during school and college arrival and finishing periods
- no alcohol sales, entertainment or other licensed activities until 4.00am
- clearly defined limits on the number of extended-hours events permitted each year
- advance notification to South Yorkshire Police, Licensing and nearby residents of major events
- mandatory SIA security staffing for weddings, sporting events, private functions and other large events

Appendix 5

- defined capacity limits for each wedding hall, function space and the premises as a whole
- a written customer management and dispersal policy
- Noise limiting equipment for amplified entertainment
- regular perimeter and litter inspections
- a dedicated telephone number through which residents can report concerns
- safeguarding and vulnerability training, particularly concerning children, for all relevant staff and
- CCTV covering entrances, exits, function spaces and outdoor areas, with a clearly defined recording retention period.

If the Licensing Authority grants the licence, I wish to make clear that the decision is not supported by me as the ward councillor or by the local residents supporting this representation.

Thank you.

Cllr Taiba Yasseen and Moorgate residents

Other Persons 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 25, 26, 27, and 28

Sent: 14 July 2026 08:24

"I support the objection and representation submitted by Councillor Taiba Yasseen and Moorgate residents regarding the alcohol licence for Carlton Park Hotel. Please add my name to the list of residents supporting the objection."

Other Person 29

Sent: 14 July 2026 09:08

I would like to reiterate my prior objection to the scheme and further note that I concur with the points made by our Local Cllr Taiba.

On June 12, 2026, at 21:55

To whom it may concern,

I am worried to have only just found out about a licencing application relating to the Carlton park hotel following the conclusion of the response period of 09/06.

I am a local resident and live in proximity to the site on Boston castle grove and I was entirely unaware of the proposed licensing changes.

As I'm sure you are well aware the former 4 star hotel/wedding venue is presently in a state of considerable disrepair and has been used to house displaced persons, including those with drug and alcohol dependency.

Appendix 5

I am concerned with the proposed late night hours and the impact the serving of alcohol late into the night would have upon surrounding residents and the likely increase in antisocial behaviour within what is primarily a quiet residential area.

The area is wholly inappropriate for late night town centre use which would be better served elsewhere.

As I understand Cllr Yasseen has been or will be in touch to raise concerns as there has been significant community impact steaming from antisocial behaviour linked to the Carlton park hotel in its current form.

I would also recommend liaising with the community safety team as they are well aware of the related issues. I have raised a number of issues directly with Kraig Kelly in the community safety team who I'm sure would be able to provide evidence RMBC already has with regards to issues in the community stemming from the hotel.

I would greatly appreciate it if my views and the doubtless other comments from other community members could be taken into account in the determination of this matter.

Other Person 30

Sent: 14 July 2026 13:30

OBJECTION TO THE PREMISES LICENCE APPLICATION By :

Hotel and Resorts Ltd, for the Carlton Park Hotel, 102-104 Moorgate Road, S60 2BG

Objector [REDACTED] Local Resident / Affected Party

To the Members of the Licensing Sub-Committee,

I am writing to submit my formal representation objecting to the application for a new premises licence at the **Carlton Park Hotel, Moorgate Road**.

In this I fully support and echo the Objection already submitted by Councillor Taiba Yasseen (Boston Castle Ward) & Moorgate Residents.

This is not an application to let holidaymakers have a quiet glass of wine with dinner. This is an application to build a massive, 1,000+ capacity nightclub, festival patio, and late-night takeaway business right in the middle of a school run and a quiet residential neighbourhood. The applicant may well be attempting to bypass standard zoning controls, and the destructive impact on Rotherham residents will be permanent.

While this analysis may seem extreme it is what could be done by the owners if they choose. Given that this would massively add to the value of the Hotel there is a good chance it will happen.

Appendix 5

Additionally to Taiba Yasseens objections I wish to add:

1. **The Licensing Act** already has a specific mechanism for late-night one-off events called **Temporary Event Notices (TENs)**. If a hotel wants to host a late wedding or New Year's party, the normal route is to apply for a TEN. This gives the Police and Environmental Health the chance to review that specific date.

Asking for 1am/4:00 AM non-standard hours directly on the main licence is **essentially trying to bypass the annual limit of TENs**. Suggesting the applicants seek to dramatically change the nature of the Hotel

2 Under the November 2025 Section 182 Guidance, this Committee is explicitly directed to prioritize broader contextual risks, including the safety of women and girls in the night-time economy.

Furthermore, the High Court's ruling in Jaks Bars [April 2026] establishes that this Committee must not accept vague, paper-thin promises of quiet dispersal.

The applicant's schedule is legally deficient as it

- A. Lacks room-by-room capacity limits to satisfy modern public safety expectations,
- A. Offers no anti-spiking safeguards,
- A. Provides no enforceable dispersal plan to protect our sleeping residents.

3. Through changes to Section 182 the Government is seeking to encourage economic activity via some loosening up. Nonetheless the Council has kept the strict legal veto in place for local communities and emergency services under the four licensing objectives **and in no way can the very small economic gain from a late opening hotel overrule public safety**

4. The Council has a record of complaints about anti social behaviour emanating from the Carlton Park, meaning this cannot be dismissed as merely a theoretical danger.

Under the latest legal standards, this application should be refused, or the Council will be criticised for a licensing failure that has seriously jeopardised a lovely suburb of Rotherham.

Legal Appendix

- **Statutory Guidance Updates (Amended November 2025):** References the latest changes regarding the balancing of local risks, the protection of children on transit routes, and the mandatory duties around safeguarding and public safety.
- **Judicial Precedents:** Detailed case summaries and direct applications for:
 - *Hope and Glory [2011]* (Predictive licensing balance)
 - *Jaks Bars [2026]* (Strict enforceability of dispersal policies)
 - *Kouttis [2011]* (Operator liability for outside dispersal nuisance)
 - *Marathon [2011]* (The proportionality of restricting/cutting back operating hours)

Appendix 5

- **The *Thwaites* [2008] Distinction:** A crucial, legally formulated argument demonstrating why the applicant's expected defense (using *Thwaites* to claim "speculation") is invalid here due to the active, heavily documented history of local anti-social behavior (ASB) running through June 2026.
- **"Martyn's Law" Integration:** Highlights why the venue's lack of declared capacity limits violates public safety and terrorism protection standards.

Other Person 31

Sent: 14 July 2026 14:16

Application: Hotel and Resorts Ltd

Premises: Carlton Park Hotel, 102-104 Moorgate Road, S60 2BG

Submitted by: Whiston Grove, Moorgate Residents Ms JA Davison and Mr AJ Haxton

We live on Whiston Grove, in very close proximity to the Carlton Park Hotel.

We are supportive of plans to resurrect this once-lovely hotel as a commercial business and do not oppose in principle to plans for the premises to be used for entertainment purposes, the application for the granting of a New Premises Licence and alcohol licence. BUT we do object to the hours applied for, on the basis that the hotel is in a residential area. It is very close to residential housing. Potential noise emittance from late-night events could have an onerous effect on daily life.

This is not a limited application for a small hotel bar or occasional televised sporting events. It seeks permission for a wide range of activities, including amplified live and recorded music, plays, dance performances, private parties, cultural events, weddings and other functions.

Our concerns relate particularly to late night noise caused by outdoor alcohol service, amplified entertainment, people and cars congregating and departing. The Carlton Park's entertainment events could attract significant numbers of customers and result in substantial late night dispersal.

We ask for the hours on the application to be revised to closing times of up to 11am weekdays and Sundays and up to midnight on Fridays and Saturdays.

We also strongly object to the section of the application requesting Non Standard event times of 8am to 4am. In our opinion, this should be removed and the licensee should be required to apply for a licence extension on a case by case basis.

Evidence for our objections:

Under the Licensing Act 2003, it is highly unusual and structurally treated as a high-risk application to grant a commercial leisure licence extending until 1:00 AM (or 4:00 AM via broad non-standard extensions) to a hotel situated in a dense residential area.
like this.

Appendix 5

1. The Standard Framework for Residential Areas

While there is no strict national closing time under UK law (the Act allows applicants to request any hours they like), most local councils—including Rotherham—have a Statement of Licensing Policy.

This policy typically sets out Framework Hours; Core Hours; for residential areas.

Normal Residential Curfews: For premises surrounded by homes, councils generally prefer to see indoor licensable activities wind down by 11:00 PM or midnight on weekdays, and perhaps midnight or 1:00 AM on Fridays and Saturdays.

Outdoor Curfews: For outdoor drinking areas (patios/gardens) in residential zones, it is standard practice to impose a strict condition requiring these spaces to be cleared of customers by 9:00 PM or 10:00 PM to prevent public nuisance. Seeking an everyday 8:00 AM start and routine 1:00 AM weekend finishes directly pushes the limits of standard residential framework hours.

2. Why the 4:00 AM Non-Standard Hours Request is Highly Abnormal and why we think this blanket request to operate until 4:00 AM should be rejected:

The Intent of the Licensing Act: The Act has a specific mechanism for late-night one-off events - Temporary Event Notices (TENs). If a hotel wants to host a late wedding or New Year's party, the normal route is to apply for a TEN. This gives the Police and Environmental Health the chance to review that specific date.

Asking for 4:00 AM non-standard hours directly on the main licence is essentially trying to bypass the annual limit of TENs. It takes away the community's right to be notified of individual late-night events.

Other Person 32

Sent: 14 July 2026 21:34

I would like to add my name to the objection of the licence.

There are new, refurbished building in the Rotherham centre regeneration plan which are more suitable for this type of venue such as forge island ex bingo hall, close to train and bus transport infrastructure with large pubic car parks.

The hotel is already inadequate in providing community reassurance as it is with their clientele. The only thing they've provided is CCTV. I'm unsure if it works or if it's monitored.

The owners have already proven negligence in the up keep of the building so would not be trusted with license of alcohol and a venue which impact negatively on the community.

Appendix 5

The venue is not large enough for big scale event.

There was a market a few months ago which I support but the parking was inadequate and people were parking on grass verges, pavements and double yellow roads. This is meters away from the hospital where ambulances required access.

This licence would be detrimental for the residents living in this community.

Other Person 33

Sent: 14 July 2026 22:09

As a resident close to Carlton Park Hotel, I support the objection and representation submitted by Councillor Taiba Yasseen and Moorgate residents regarding the alcohol licence for Carlton Park Hotel. Please add my name to the list of residents supporting the objection.

To add to concerns I have first hand witnessed drug dealers, sexual exploitation and drunks exiting the premises and committing their crimes.

This is a quiet area and there is no need for alcohol to be served for the ludicrous hours mentioned. There is enough trouble in the area as it is.

There is not enough police presence to address the current issues never mind more being created.

You also have vulnerable patients from the hospital and school children who pass Carlton Park. Having the services proposed and more so the alcohol free flowing will cause more trouble, not only for residents but for other citizens who want to go about their business.

Rotherham does not have a glowing representation nationwide and allowing this sort of service that really isn't needed will only add to the troubles.

Keep Rotherham safe!!

Other Person 34

Sent: 14 July 2026 15:28

I wish to strongly object to the planning application for a new premises licence at the Carlton Park Hotel, Moorgate Road.

I live [REDACTED], just yards away from the hotel. It beggars belief that you are considering such extended licensing hours, outside drinking and a takeaway at these premises which are so close to residential properties and several schools.

It also beggars belief that there has been NO consultation with neighbours.

Appendix 5

The 4am non standard hours request if granted will give the operators carte blanche to destroy the neighbourhood and guidelines say it should not be granted in a residential area.

Please, please put strict restrictions on any application you grant.

Other Person 35

Sent: 14 July 2026 22:17

As a resident close to Carlton Park Hotel, I support the objection raised by Councillor Yasseen and Moorgate residents regarding the alcohol licence for Carlton Park Hotel.

The hours requested (e.g., alcohol until 01.00 and opening until 02.00 on weekends, plus non-standard variations until 04.00) are excessive for a residential area. Live music, recorded music, and general patrons leaving the venue will cause significant sleep disturbance, anti-social behaviour, and litter.

Oakwood School is only a few hundred meters away and thought must be given to the impact this will have on young people.

Extending alcohol sales into the early hours of the morning significantly increases the risk of public drunkenness, violence, and vandalism in the surrounding area. This has been an issue before this application and would only make matters worse.

Alcohol sales from 08:00 coincide directly with the morning school run and student arrival times. This exposes young children and teenagers to early-morning alcohol purchasing and potential street drinking

The sweeping request for non-standard variations from 08.00 to 04.00 year-round is ambiguous and potentially allows for 20-hour drinking sessions on unspecified days, disrupting community life.

The hotel is situated between a secondary school and a college, so this license really does need to be looked at in depth and it's wider implications on the community.

Students walking to and from the school or college will have to pass a venue operating late into the night (until 01:00/02:00) and early in the morning, potentially exposing them to anti-social behaviour, verbal harassment, or intimidating groups.

Response to conciliation - Sent: 25 August 2026 21:46

While I welcome the amendments and operating conditions put forward by the applicant—including the Challenge 25 policy, staff training, and ANPR-controlled parking—I must firmly state that the fundamental points of concern raised in my original objection still exist.

These operational tweaks do not mitigate the severe negative impacts this venue will have on the licensing objectives of Public Safety and the Prevention of Public Nuisance.

Appendix 5

Despite the proposed amendments, the following critical issues remain entirely unresolved:

Unresolved Public Safety Risks and Gridlock on Moorgate Road The applicant's introduction of ANPR-controlled parking does nothing to reduce the physical volume of traffic arriving at the site. Moorgate Road is a critical thoroughfare for emergency blue-light vehicles accessing Rotherham General Hospital.

As a local resident, I have witnessed previous events at this venue bring Moorgate Road to a complete standstill. An ANPR system merely logs or restricts vehicles at the gate; it does not stop taxis, drop-offs, and patrons from blocking the main road. The physical reality remains that a permanent midnight operation here will cause daily gridlock, creating an unacceptable public safety hazard by delaying ambulances where every second counts. **Continued Public Nuisance Near Rotherham Hospital** While staff training and perimeter checks are positive steps, they cannot contain indoor live and recorded music playing until 00:00, seven days a week, nor can they control patron noise once people leave the premises boundary. Rotherham General Hospital requires a peaceful environment for patient recovery.

Furthermore, the Moorgate area already features several licensed premises. Adding another midnight venue causes an unmanageable cumulative impact. The combined noise and traffic of multiple venues dispersing patrons between 00:00 and 00:30 will fundamentally disrupt the hospital and local residents, regardless of the applicant's internal policies. **Ongoing Protection of Children from Harm** The venue remains directly on the main daily walking route for students attending Thomas Rotherham College and local schools. No amount of staff training or minor amendments changes the fact that a high-profile, daily alcohol and entertainment venue normalises daytime alcohol exposure to children and risks leaving litter and event debris on their morning commute.

I appreciate that the applicant has attempted to address some operational concerns through these amendments. However, the core issues of location, capacity, and timing cannot be managed away by conditions. A midnight entertainment venue is fundamentally incompatible with a major hospital thoroughfare and an educational zone. I urge the Licensing Committee to uphold the licensing objectives by rejecting this application, or at the very least, restricting the operating hours to standard daytime trading.

Other Person 36

Sent: 14 July 2026 22:14

We wish to confirm our support for the attached collective objection.

We are particularly concerned about the impact (noise and antisocial behaviour) this would have on local residents and children attending schools nearby.

Appendix 5

Other Person 37

Sent: 15 July 2026 13:55

Please see my objection below, shared with Cllr Taiba Yasseen, regarding the license under consideration for Carlton Park Hotel. Please note, as a local resident with a 13 year old child at a neighbouring school, I strongly object to this move. My explanation is detailed in my email below.

I would appreciate it if you could acknowledge receipt of this email and that my objection has been noted.

I support the objection and representation submitted by Councillor Taiba Yasseen and Moorgate residents regarding the alcohol licence for Carlton Park Hotel. Please add my name to the list of residents supporting the objection.

This hotel is already a known facilitator in the massive increase in anti-social behaviour in this area, and it sits between a school and a college. Granting the premises a license to serve alcohol adds more fuel to a fire which is already out of control.

There has been an increase in theft and general anti-social behaviour and petty crime since the use of Carlton Park Hotel changed to accommodate known criminals and addicts, and more alarmingly there has been open drug taking and drug and illegal vape dealing right outside both the school and college either side of the hotel, regularly witnessed by children such as my 13 year old son.

Instead of granting an alcohol license where there is a known audience of addicts, money should be directed in providing these individuals with support towards becoming clean, law abiding citizens.

With Rotherham's history of child grooming, we should be cleaning up our act not making a known situation worse.

At best this is greed and profiteering regardless of consequences.